

----- Forwarded message -----

From: salima <spadamsey@yahoo.com>

To: darren.edwards@kapiticoast.govt.nz <darren.edwards@kapiticoast.govt.nz>; kris.purven@kapiticoast.govt.nz <kris.purven@kapiticoast.govt.nz>

Cc: Jason Holland <jason.holland@kapiticoast.govt.nz>; Councillor Martin Halliday <martin.halliday@kapiticoast.govt.nz>; Councillor Jocelyn Prvanov <jocelyn.prvanov@kapiticoast.govt.nz>; Simon Arnold <simon.arnold@arnold.co.nz>; Katharine Moody <moodyzville@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, 17 February 2023 at 13:02:13 GMT+13

Subject: Thank you

Good Afternoon Darren and Kris

I wanted to thank you for taking the time to speak to us yesterday.

As Chair of CRU, I welcome the re-set that both you and Darren spoke of at the meeting and your commitment to looking at the issues we have raised through a new lens with an open mind - a clear signal that we can work constructively and positively as partners on the issue of coastal hazards.

I wanted to take this opportunity to recap some of the key concerns put forward by CRU at yesterday's discussion - a reference point for future discussions:

1. We had put 3 options on the table

(i) Council put the Takutai Kapiti project on pause

(ii) Council remove the identification of coastal hazards under NZCPS Policy 24 (risk assessment) and the any recommendations on District Plan change from Takutai Kapiti's remit and work together with CRU on this issue

(iii) Council does nothing

2. Jacobs' Volume 1 and 2 are not in compliance with the NZCPS and they have stated in their reports that they did not conduct a risk assessment. These report's/this science should not be used for the purpose of District Planning.

3. There is a need to identify the hazards (NZCPS policy 24) then move to NZCPS policy 25/27.

4. Adaptation planning is not a statutory requirement. Fully implementing the NZCPS is. The Council previously committed to the Environment Court that the new District Plan rules for coastal hazards would be notified within four years of the date of the 2016 hearing/2017 interim judgement. The Council is presently working with coastal hazard planning rules from Operative District Plan 1999.

5. The RMA Planning Briefing by Jacobs (25 May 2022) has a fundamental legal misinterpretation of policy 24 of the NZCPS (slide 15)

6. There is serious concern about Jacobs' use of RCP 8.5 and RCP 8.5H+ - these scenarios have been deemed implausible by the IPCC AR6 report - their use in identifying coastal hazards is not in line with the NZCPS which requires the use of best estimates on the *likely* effects of climate change to be identified.

On a personal note, I wanted to thank Jason for his help and support in putting this meeting together yesterday - knowing how busy you are with the PC2 hearings coming up.

For CRU, Kris your continued participation in these discussions would be extremely important and helpful and we would welcome the continued involvement of Councillors Halliday and Prvnov.

Finally, I will be informing my members of the outcome of our meeting and I have no doubt they will share my confidence in our renewed relationship with Council.

Regards,
Salima