

**From:** Mayor K Gurnathan <[k.gurunathan@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:k.gurunathan@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>

**To:** salima <[spadamsey@yahoo.com](mailto:spadamsey@yahoo.com)>; Mayor K Gurnathan <[k.gurunathan@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:k.gurunathan@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor James Cootes <[james.cootes@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:james.cootes@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Angela Buswell <[angela.buswell@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:angela.buswell@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Gwynn Compton <[gwynn.compton@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:gwynn.compton@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Martin Halliday <[martin.halliday@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:martin.halliday@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Bernie Randall <[bernie.randall@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:bernie.randall@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Jackie Elliott <[jackie.elliott@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:jackie.elliott@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Rob McCann <[rob.mccann@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:rob.mccann@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Jocelyn Prvanov <[jocelyn.prvanov@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:jocelyn.prvanov@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Sophie Handford <[sophie.handford@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:sophie.handford@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>; Councillor Janet Holborow <[janet.holborow@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:janet.holborow@kapiticoast.govt.nz)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, 17 August 2021, 13:45:05 GMT+12

**Subject:** RE: CRU's Technical Review - Response

Ms Padamsey,

I have noted your August 13 response to my August 5 email. In para 2 of your response you refer to the Auditor General's direction that "...a primary responsibility of any elected member is to self-inform and ask questions". Allow me, therefore, to say that I do not wish my self informing process to be dominated by your jaundiced interpretation of reality. In my August 5 communication I had set out the transparent and legally testable process set up at arms length from councillors. Your response validates my recognition that you are wanting to establish your own politically motivated process to directly influence councillors. And attempting to use the AG's Office to justify doing this. I'm sending a copy of my August 5 mail to you and your August 13 reply to the AG. No one will deny you your democratic right but lets recognise it for what it is. I need to reiterate that the CAP process is where you need to be engaged.

You have further made a series of unsubstantiated and bizarre claims including that "The information which CAP will receive is what Council gives it, and what ability or inclination CAP has to actually engage with the communities is unclear and doubtful". CAP is specifically set up to hear submissions from the community including individuals and groups like yours. There is also a group of experts to give CAP technical advise. There are also formally appointed people sitting as observers, these include the four chairs of the community boards and Cr Sophie Hanford the Climate Change portfolio holder.

You have made a number of questionable claims but the one that the public will find laughable is your dismissal of former Prime Minister Mr Jim Bolger's relevance, capability and experience to be on the CAP. Ms Padamsey, I'm informed that your group has been on a membership drive via a letterbox drop into coastal properties. Whatever the intentions, it's good to have a wider public appreciation of the complex issue of coastal management.

I further understand that your material espouses the mantra: "Good science. Good planning. Good law". In that context I hope you have been following the recent IPCC Report to help frame your concerns and look forward to your good engagement with the CAP through the submission process when CAP begins its community engagement.

Mayor Guru

KCDC